Diastereoselective [4 + 1] Cycloaddition of Alkenyl Propargyl Acetates with CO Catalyzed by $[RhCl(CO)₂]₂$

Wei Chen,†,‡ Jia-Hui Tay,‡ Xiao-Qi Yu,*,† and Lin Pu*,‡

† Department of Chemistry, Sichuan University, C[he](#page-6-0)ngdu, China 61[006](#page-6-0)4

‡ Department of Chemistry, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904-4319, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-6-0)STRACT: [A class of](#page-6-0) alkenyl propargyl acetates, $RCH(OAc)C \equiv$ $CC(CH_3) = CH_2$ (5), are found to undergo $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition with CO (1 atm) in the presence of $[RhCl(CO)_2]_2$ in refluxing 1,2dichloroethane to give cyclopentenones (6) in good yields. It has been demonstrated that, when the R group of 5 is a phenyl group bearing oelectron-withdrawing substituents, up to 10:1 diastereoselectivity and 96% yield can be achieved for the $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition. This process provides a

convenient method to construct highly functionalized cyclopentenones that are useful in organic synthesis.

ENTRODUCTION

Both chiral and achiral propargylic alcohols can be easily prepared from the reaction of deprotonated terminal alkynes with aldehydes or ketones. 1 This class of compounds is found to be versatile precursors to structurally diverse organic molecules, and their appli[ca](#page-6-0)tion in organic synthesis has been extensively studied. 2.3 These activities include the currently highly active field of transition-metal-catalyzed isomerizations and reactions of pr[opa](#page-6-0)rgyl esters.⁴⁻¹⁰

In recent years, our laboratory has also conducted research projects in the development of [t](#page-6-0)[he](#page-7-0) synthetic application of functional propargylic alcohols.^{11−14} An example of the catalytic Pauson−Khand (PK) cycloaddition of the functional propargylic alcohols investigated [in](#page-7-0) [our](#page-7-0) laboratory is shown in Scheme 1.^{14b} In this reaction, the 1,3-diyne-based compound 1

underwent the PK cycloaddition with CO catalyzed by $[RhCl(CO)₂]$ to generate the bicyclic cyclopentenone 2 with good diastereoselectivity. We also attempted a PK cycloaddition of an alkenyl propargyl ester 3 in the presence of $[RhCl(CO)₂]$ ₂ and CO (Scheme 2). This reaction, however, did not generate the expected PK cycloaddition product. Instead, an apparent $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition involving the enyne unit of 3 and CO took place to give a novel cyclopentenone product 4 in low yield with 1.2:1 E/Z isomers. The β ,*γ*-double bond of the ester group did not participate in the cyclization. While this paper was in preparation, Tang and Fukuyama

Scheme 2. Attempted Catalytic Conversion of 3

reported conversions from a different enyne susbtrate A ($\mathbb{R}^1 \neq$ H) to **B** catalyzed by $[Rh(COD)Cl]_2$ (Scheme 3).^{4e,j} Earlier,

Brancour reported the reaction of the terminal alkyne-based substrate **A** ($R^1 = H$) in the presence of $[RhCl(CO)_2]_2$ and CO to give the six membered ring products C^{4d} To improve both the yield and the diastereoselectivity for the conversion of 3 to 4 in Scheme 2, we have explored the react[io](#page-6-0)ns of a number of

Received: May 22, 2012 Published: June 25, 2012 Scheme 4. Rh(I)-Catalyzed [4 + 1] Cycloaddition of the Alkyl-Substituted Propargyl Acetates

other enyne substrates by replacing the carboxylate group of 3 with acetate and its R group with various alkyl or aryl groups. We found that an excellent yield and high diastereoselectivity could be achieved for this $Rh(I)$ -catalyzed $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition. Herein, these results are reported.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Catalytic [4 + 1] Cycloaddition of Alkyl-Substituted Propargyl Acetates. We first prepared compound $5a^{15}$ by treatment of 2-methyl-but-1-en-3-yne with "BuLi, followed by addition to cyclohexanecarboaldehyde and esterifi[cat](#page-7-0)ion (Scheme 4). This compound was then heated with [RhCl- $(CO)_2$ ₂ in THF at reflux under 1 atm CO. After 24 h, the [4 + 1] cyclopentenone product 6a was obtained in 66% yield with 1.3:1 E/Z selectivity. Further study found that, when THF was replaced with 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) as the reaction solvent, after 15 h, 6a was obtained in 95% yield with 2.2:1 E/Z selectivity. Thus, using DCE led to excellent yield and improved diastereoselectivity. Under the same conditions, compound $5b^{16}$ with a primary alkyl substituent at the propargylic position gave the $[4 + 1]$ product 6b in 92% yield with 2.4:1 [E](#page-7-0)/Z selectivity. The reaction was also found to work for substrate $5c^{17}$ that has a tertiary propargylic center, which gave 6c in 50% yield. These results show that the enyne substrates containing [a](#page-7-0)lkyl substituents on the propargylic carbon can undergo efficient $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition with CO in the presence of the Rh(I) catalyst with modest diastereoselectivity. Previously, a Pd(0)-catalyzed vicinal double carbonylation of 4-en-2-ynyl carbonates, analogues of 5, was reported to generate cyclopentenone products that are structurally related to 6.8^{bb}

Catalytic [4 + 1] Cycloaddition of Aryl-Substituted Propargyl [Ac](#page-6-0)etates. We then studied the reaction of the enyne substrates containing various aryl substituents at the propargylic position by using the same reaction conditions as described in Scheme 4 with DCE as the solvent. The results are summarized in Table 1. As shown in entry 1, compound $5d^{15}$ containing a phenyl substituent at the propargylic carbon gave the $[4 + 1]$ product 6d in 85% yield with 2.0:1 E/Z selectivi[ty.](#page-7-0) This result is similar to those obtained with the alkylsubstituted enynes. When an electron-donating methyl group is introduced to the p -position of the phenyl ring of $5d$, the diastereoselectivity is reduced to 1:1.1, as shown in the conversion of $5e$ to $6e$ (entry 2). However, when the *p*-methyl substituent of 5e is placed at the o -position in 5f, the E/Z selectivity is significantly improved to 4.9:1, as observed in the product 6f (entry 3). When the electron-donating p -methyl of

^aConditions: $[RhCl(CO)_2]_2$ (10%), CO (1 atm), DCE, reflux.

5e is replaced with an electron-withdrawing p -Cl in **5g**, its conversion to $6g$ shows a better E/Z selectivity of 2.9:1 than both 5d and 5e (entry 4). When two electron-withdrawing Cl's are incorporated to the o-positions of the phenyl ring, as in 5h, its conversion to 6h gives a much improved E/Z selectivity of 5.7:1 (entry 5). When the more strongly electron-withdrawing $NO₂$ and $CF₃$ are introduced to the p-position of the phenyl ring, as in 5i and 5k (entries 6 and 8), the E/Z selectivities $(4.0:1$ and $4.1:1)$ are better than those of 5d, 5e, and 5g. When these strongly electron-withdrawing groups are attached to the o -position of the phenyl ring, further enhancement of the E/Z selectivity to 6.3:1 and 7.0:1 is observed in the conversions of 5j and 5l to the products 6j and 6l, respectively (entries 7 and 9). These results demonstrate that the stereoselectivity of the $Rh(I)$ -catalyzed $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition can be enhanced by reducing the electron density of the phenyl substituent at the propargylic carbon and also by placing o-substituents on the phenyl ring to increase the steric bulkiness adjacent to the propargylic center.

The transformations of the enyne substrates containing 1 naphthyl $(5m)$ and 2-naphthyl $(5n)$ on the propargylic carbon were also studied (entries 10, 11). The 2-naphthyl group of 5m is equivalent to a phenyl group containing a m - and a p substituent, and the conversion of 5m to 6m proceeded with 88% yield with 1.4:1 E/Z selectivity (entry 10). The 1-naphthyl group of 5n is equivalent to a phenyl group containing an oand a m-substituent, and the conversion of 5n to 6n proceeded with a much higher E/Z selectivity of 6.2:1 in 84% yield (entry 11). Thus, similar to those shown in entries 1−9, an osubstituent on the phenyl ring increases the stereoselectivity, but a *p*- or *m*-substituent is less favorable.

The reaction of compound 50 with a biphenyl substituent *o*linked to the propargylic carbon gave the product 6o in 82% yield with a good 7.2:1 E/Z selectivity (entry 12). When two electron-withdrawing fluorine substituents were introduced, the resulting substrate $5p$ showed a further enhanced E/Z selectivity of 10:1 (entry 13). This is consistent with the observation that an electron-withdrawing o-substituent on the phenyl ring at the propargylic position can lead to high E/Z selectivity for the $Rh(I)$ -catalyzed $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition of the alkenyl propargylic esters.

The NOESY spectra of E- and Z-6d have allowed the determination of their stereochemistry (Supporting Information, page S48). NOE effects were observed for E-6d between the aromatic protons H_a and H_b at δ 7.35 [and the AcO proton](#page-6-0) [signa](#page-6-0)l at δ 1.80. In contrast, no NOE effect between the aromatic protons and the AcO protons was observed for Z-6d. The AcO protons in E-6d are also significantly shielded by the aromatic ring to give a more upfield-shifted signal than those in Z -6d. The E/Z isomers of the other reaction products were determined by comparison of their NMR spectra with those of E- and Z-6d.

Mechanistic Illustration of the Stereoselectivity. Transition-metal-catalyzed isomerization of propargylic esters and the subsequent inter- or intramolecular conversions have

been studied extensively. $4-10$ On the basis of these previous reports, a mechanism for the $Rh(I)$ -catalyzed $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition can be pro[p](#page-6-0)[ose](#page-7-0)d in Scheme 5. Coordination of the triple bond of the substrate 6 to the Rh (I) center could generate the intermediate 7. 1,3-Acetate m[ig](#page-3-0)ration of 7 could generate the allene intermediate $8a$ and $8b$.⁴ It is expected that the o-substituted phenyl ring of 8a should have increased the steric interaction with the ligands on the [Rh](#page-6-0), which makes 8b more favorable than 8a. Previously, Murakami had reported a Rh catalyzed reaction of isolated vinyl allenes with CO that gave similar $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition products.¹⁸ According to their mechanistic study, the η^4 complexes 8a and 8b could undergo oxidative coupling to generate the me[tall](#page-7-0)acyclopentene intermediates 9a and 9b, respectively. In 9b, an electrondeficient benzene ring could have a favorable $\pi-\pi$ attraction with the π electrons of the acetate group. This $\pi-\pi$ interaction is supported by the significantly shielded AcO proton signal of E-6d in its H NMR spectrum. Therefore, an o-electronwithdrawing substituent on the phenyl ring would favor the formation of the intermediate 9b. Complexes 9a and 9b can then undergo CO insertion and reductive elimination to generate the Z and E products 6, respectively. Thus, the o electron-withdrawing group on the phenyl ring of the substrate would lead to the formation of E-6 as the major product via the formation of the sterically and electronically more favorable intermediates 8b and 9b.

Catalytic $[4 + 1]$ Cycloaddition of the Substrates Containing a Vinyl Group on the Propargylic Carbon. We also investigated the $Rh(I)$ -catalyzed $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition of the constitutional isomers of the enyne substrates 5, such as 10a and 10b, similar to A ($R \neq H$) in Scheme 3, in which the vinyl groups are on the propargylic carbon rather than on the alkyne carbon (Scheme 6). It was found that bo[th](#page-0-0) 10a and 10b were converted to the cyclopentenone products 11a and 11b with good Z/E selectivi[ti](#page-3-0)es of 7.0:1 and 5.7:1, respectively, in the presence of $[RhCl(CO)_2]_2$ and CO. The stereochemistry of Z-11a was determined by observing the NOE effect between its aromatic protons and the vinyl proton on the cyclopentenone ring in its 2D NOESY spectrum (see the Supporting Information, page S49). Unlike those in products 6, the acetate groups in 11a and 11b are not on the cyclopenteno[ne ring. The](#page-6-0) [mechanism](#page-6-0) proposed for the conversion of 5 to 6 in Scheme 5 could also be applied to illustrate the conversion of 10 to 11. That is, the Rh(I)-promoted 1,3-migration of the acetate grou[p](#page-3-0) of the Rh(I)−alkyne complex 12, followed by cyclization, should give an intermediate like 13. The coordination of the acetate group to the $Rh(I)$ center in 13 and the subsequent CO insertion and reductive elimination can explain the stereoselective formation of the products Z-11. These results resemble those reported by Tang and Fukuyama.^{4e,j}

Summary. In summary, we have discovered a $Rh(I)$ catalyzed $\begin{bmatrix} 4 + 1 \end{bmatrix}$ cycloaddition of vinyl propargyl [ace](#page-6-0)tates with CO to generate cyclopentenone products. In this reaction, it has been demonstrated that good diastereoselectivity can be achieved for the enyne substrates bearing an aromatic ring at the propargylic carbon with electron-withdrawing or osubstituents. The stereoelectronic effects of the substrates on the diastereoselectivity can be rationalized by a mechanism involving the Rh(I)-promoted 1,3-acetate migration, followed by the $[4 + 1]$ cycloaddition of the Rh(I)-coordinated vinyl allene intermediates with CO. Because of the easily available starting material and the mild reaction conditions, this work

Scheme 5. Proposed Mechanism for the Rh(I)-Catalyzed $[4 + 1]$ Cycloaddition

Scheme 6. Rh(I)-Catalyzed $[4 + 1]$ Cycloaddition of Compounds 10

provides a convenient method to construct functional cyclopentenones that are useful in organic synthesis.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for the Preparation of Alkenyl Propargylic Esters 3, 5, and 10. Under nitrogen, an alkyne (1.6 equiv) was dissolved in THF (5.0 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. "BuLi (1.4 equiv) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. An aldehyde (1.0 mmol) was then added. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted three times with CH2Cl2. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The resultant oil was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluted with hexanes/ethyl acetate to afford the product in 50−95% yield. The product was then dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 M), and Ac₂O (2 equiv), pyridine (4 equiv) [4-pentenoic acid (2 equiv) and DCC (2 equiv) were added instead to prepare ester 3], and DMAP (0.1 equiv) were added. After the reaction was determined to be complete by TLC, it was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted three times with CH2Cl2. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The resultant oil was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluted with hexanes/ethyl acetate to afford the product in 90−99% yield.

Characterizations of Alkenyl Propargylic Esters 3, 5, and 10. 2-Methyldodec-1-en-3-yn-5-yl But-3-enoate, 3: 147 mg, 56% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.90 (m, 1H), 5.48 (t, 1H, J = 6.8 Hz), 5.27 (s, 1H), 5.21 (m, 1H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 5.13 (t, 1H, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 3.10 (t, 1H, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 3.08 (t, 1H, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.26 (m, 8H), 0.85 (t, 3H, $J = 6.6$ Hz). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.6, 130.2, 126.2, 122.8, 118.8, 86.6, 85.7, 64.9, 39.3, 35.0, 31.9, 29.3, 29.2, 25.2, 23.5, 22.8, 14.3. Colorless oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{17}H_{26}O_2$ (M) Calcd: 262.1933. Found: 262.1931.

Cyclohexyl-4-methylpent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5a:¹⁵ 161 mg, 73% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.28 (m, 2H), 5.20 (m, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.84 (m, 3H), 1.71 (m, 6H), 1.13 ([m,](#page-7-0) 5H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.2, 126.3, 122.7, 87.2, 84.8, 68.8, 42.1, 28.8, 28.3, 26.4, 26.0, 25.9, 23.5, 21.2.

6-Methylhept-6-en-4-yn-3-yl Acetate, $5b$: 16 136 mg, 82% yield. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.43 (t, 1H, J = 6.6 Hz), 5.30 (s, 1H), 5.23 [\(m](#page-7-0), 1H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.79 (m, 2H), 1.00 (t, 3H, J = 7.5 Hz). ^{[1](#page-7-0)3}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.2, 126.3, 122.9, 86.7, 85.5, 65.8, 28.4, 23.5, 21.3, 9.6.

1-(3-Methylbut-3-en-1-yn-1-yl)cyclohexyl Acetate, 5c:¹⁷ 93 mg, 45% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.26 (s, 1H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 2.10 (m, 2H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 1.80 (m, 2H[\),](#page-7-0) 1.60 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 169.3, 126.6, 122.0, 88.4, 87.6, 76.0, 37.3, 25.4, 23.6, 22.9, 22.2.

4-Methyl-1-phenylpent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5d:¹⁵ 152 mg, 71% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.38 (m, 3H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.29 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s, [3H](#page-7-0)), 1.92 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.0, 137.4, 129.1, 128.9, 128.0, 126.1, 123.5, 88.4, 84.7, 66.2, 23.4, 21.4.

4-Methyl-1-(p-tolyl)pent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5e: 171 mg, 75% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.44 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.21 $(d, 2H, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz})$, 6.59 (s, 1H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.93 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.0, 139.1, 134.6, 129.6, 128.0, 126.2, 123.3, 88.2, 85.0, 66.1, 23.4, 21.5, 21.4. Colorless liquid. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{16}O_2$ (M) Calcd: 228.1150. Found: 228.1154.

4-Methyl-1-(o-tolyl)pent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5f: 157 mg, 69% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.62 (m, 1H), 7.27 (m, 2H), 7.20 (m, 1H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.9, 136.5, 135.5, 131.0, 129.1, 128.3, 126.5, 126.2, 123.3, 88.2, 84.7, 64.3, 23.4, 21.2, 19.3. Colorless liquid. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{16}O_2$ (M) Calcd: 228.1150. Found: 228.1152.

1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-methylpent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5g: 144 mg, 58% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.46 (d, 2H, J = 8.1) Hz), 7.33 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 6.55 (s, 1H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.89 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.8, 136.0, 135.0, 129.4, 129.0, 126.0, 123.7, 88.7, 84.3, 65.4, 23.3, 21.2. Colorless liquid. HRMS (EI) for $C_{14}H_{13}ClO_2$ (M) Calcd: 248.0604. Found: 248.0601.

1-(2,6-Dichlorophenyl)-4-methylpent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5h: 181 mg, 64% yield. ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.35−7.13 (m, 4H), 5.32 (s, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.85 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 169.6, 135.7, 132.6, 130.4, 129.4, 126.2, 123.8, 88.1, 82.6, 62.3, 23.2, 20.9. Colorless liquid. HRMS (EI) for $C_{14}H_{12}Cl_2O_2$ (M) Calcd: 282.0214. Found: 282.0211.

4-Methyl-1-(4-nitrophenyl)pent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5i: 181 mg, 70% yield.¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.24 (m, 2H), 7.69 (m, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 5.32 (m, 1H), 2.14 (d, 3H, J = 1.8 Hz), 1.90 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.7, 148.3, 144.2, 128.7, 125.7, 124.2, 124.1, 89.5, 83.3, 65.0, 23.2, 21.2. Light brown liquid. HRMS (EI) for $C_{14}H_{13}NO_4$ (M) Calcd: 259.0845. Found: 259.0844.

4-Methyl-1-(2-nitrophenyl)pent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5j: 176 mg, 68% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.97 (d, 1H, J = 8.1) Hz), 7.90 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 7.68 (t, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.52 (t, 1H, $J =$ 7.8 Hz), 7.13 (s, 1H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.4, 148.1, 133.7, 132.3, 129.8, 129.6, 125.8, 125.0, 124.0, 89.0, 83.1, 62.3, 23.3, 20.9. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{14}H_{13}NO_4$ (M) Calcd: 259.0845. Found: 259.0842.

4-Methyl-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)pent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, **5k**: 211 mg, 75% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.65 (m, 4H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.31 (m, 1H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.6, 141.1, 130.9 (q, J = 32.3 Hz), 125.64, 125.61, 125.60, 123.9 (q, J = 270.8 Hz), 123.6, 88.8, 83.6, 65.2, 23.0, 20.9. Light brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{13}F_3O_2$ (M) Calcd: 282.0868. Found: 282.0872.

4-Methyl-1-(2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)pent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, **5l**: 194 mg, 69% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.96 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.47 (t, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 6.86 (s, 1H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H). 13C NMR $(150 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta$ 169.1, 135.5, 132.3, 129.9, 128.9, 127.6 $(q, J =$ 30.9 Hz), 126.0 (q, $J = 5.5$ Hz), 125.7, 123.8 (q, $J = 272.6$ Hz), 123.3, 88.5, 84.0, 62.1, 22.9, 20.7. Light brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{13}F_{3}O_{2}$ (M) Calcd: 282.0868. Found: 282.0869.

4-Methyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)pent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5m: 174 mg, 66% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.03 (s, 1H), 7.84 (m, 3H), 7.67 (m, 1H), 7.51 (m, 2H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 5.33 (m, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.96 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ170.0, 134.8, 133.7, 133.3, 128.9, 128.5, 128.0, 127.4, 126.9, 126.7, 126.2, 125.4, 123.6, 88.7, 84.9, 66.4, 23.5, 21.4. Light brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{18}H_{16}O_2$ (M) Calcd: 264.1150. Found: 264.1151.

4-Methyl-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)pent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5n: 166 mg, 63% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.25 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.80 (m, 3H), 7.53 (m, 3H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.93 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 170.1, 134.2, 132.7, 130.8, 130.2, 129.1, 126.9, 126.2, 125.5, 124.0, 123.5, 89.0, 84.8, 64.6, 23.4, 21.3. Light brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{18}H_{16}O_2$ (M) Calcd: 264.1150. Found: 264.1152.

1-([1,1′-Biphenyl]-2-yl)-4-methylpent-4-en-2-yn-1-yl Acetate, 5o: 148 mg, 51% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.86 (m, 1H), 7.50−7.29 (m, 8H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 5.28 (m, 1H), 2.01 (s,

3H), 1.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.4, 141.8, 140.2, 135.2, 130.4, 129.4, 128.9, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.8, 126.3, 123.2, 88.5, 85.5, 64.1, 23.4, 21.1. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{20}H_{18}O_2(M)$ Calcd: 290.1307. Found: 290.1301.

1-(2′,4′-Difluoro-[1,1′-biphenyl]-2-yl)-4-methylpent-4-en-2-yn-1 yl Acetate, 5p: 157 mg, 48% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.84 (dd, 1H, J = 7.6, 1.5 Hz), 7.52−7.40 (m, 2H), 7.30−7.22 (m, 2H), 6.98−6.88 (m, 2H), 6.39 (s, 1H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 5.27 (m, 1H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.5, 163.1 (dd, $J = 228.0, 11.6$ Hz), 159.9 (dd, $J = 228.0, 11.6$ Hz), 136.2, 134.4, 132.6, 131.0, 129.0, 128.7, 126.2, 123.3, 111.6 (dd, J = 21.1, 3.0 Hz,), 111.3, 104.3 (t, J = 25.7 Hz), 88.5, 84.7, 64.1, 63.8, 23.3, 21.0. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{20}H_{16}F_2O_2(M)$ Calcd: 326.1118. Found: 326.1109.

2-Methyl-5-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-yl Acetate, 10a: 174 mg, 81% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.31 (m, 3H), 6.07 (s, 1H), 5.29 (s, 1H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.9, 140.6, 132.1, 129.0, 128.5, 122.4, 115.2, 86.5, 85.0, 57.8, 21.3, 18.6. Colorless liquid. HRMS (EI) for $C_{14}H_{14}O_2$ (M) Calcd: 214.0994. Found: 214.0999.

2-Methylnon-1-en-4-yn-3-yl Acetate, 10b: 159 mg, 82% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 5.80 (s, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 2.22 (td, 2H, J = 6.9, 1.8 Hz), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 1.49 (m, 2H), 1.38 (m, 2H), 0.89 (t, 3H, $J = 7.2$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.0, 141.1, 114.5, 87.6, 76.1, 67.8, 30.7, 22.1, 21.3, 18.6, 18.5, 13.8. Colorless liquid. HRMS (M) for $C_{12}H_{18}O_2$ Calcd: 194.1307. Found: 194.1311.

General Procedure for $[RhCl(CO)_2]_2$ -Catalyzed $[4 + 1]$ Cycloaddition of the Alkenyl Propargylic Acetates with CO. Under nitrogen, an alkenyl propargyl acetate 5 or 10 (0.20 mmol) and $\left[\text{RhCl(CO)}_{2}\right]_{2}$ (7.8 mg, 0.10 equiv) were weighed into a tared twoneck round-bottom flask and dissolved with DCE (5 mL). The flask was fitted with a reflux condenser fit with a septum, and the side arm of the flask was also fitted with a septum. The solution was bubbled with CO gas for 2 min through the side arm and a vent needle in the septum of the reflux condenser. The solution was then placed under a CO atmosphere by using a balloon. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux until the reaction was determined to be complete by TLC (4−24 h). The resulting solution was cooled to room temperature, and the CO was released cautiously in the hood. The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the E/Z ratio of the products was determined by ${}^{1}H$ NMR analysis before the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography eluted with hexanes/ethyl acetate.

Characterizations of the $[4 + 1]$ Cycloaddition Products 4, 6, and 11. E-2-Methyl-5-octylidene-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl But-3 enoate, 4: <20 mg, < 40% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.18 $(t, 1H, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz})$, 6.01 (m, 1H), 5.29 (m, 1H), 5.25 (m, 1H), 3.31 $(dt, 2H, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz)$, 2.97 $(d, 2H, J = 0.9 Hz)$, 2.24 $(q, 2H, J = 7.5)$ Hz), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.40 (m, 2H), 1.26 (m, 8H), 0.87 (t, 3H, $J = 6.0$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.9, 168.6, 145.4, 132.5, 131.6, 129.3, 127.8, 120.0, 44.5, 39.1, 32.0, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 27.2, 22.9, 14.3, 12.8. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{18}H_{26}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 290.1882. Found: 290.1879.

5-(Cyclohexylmethylene)-2-methyl-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, 6a: 47 mg, 95% yield. E-6a: 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.03 (d, 1H, $J = 10.5$ Hz), 2.96 (s, 2H), 2.42 (m, 1H) 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.65 (m, 4H), 1.19 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 200.5, 168.0, 145.3, 136.1, 130.8, 127.9, 44.5, 36.6, 32.9, 26.0, 25.9, 20.8, 12.8. White solid, mp 98−100 °C. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{20}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 248.1412. Found: 248.1410.

2-Methyl-4-oxo-5-propylidenecyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, 6b: 36 mg, 92% yield. E-6b: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.16 (t, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 2.96 (s, 2H), 2.27 (m, 5H) 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.05 (t, 3H, J = 7.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.9, 168.1, 145.5, 132.6, 132.1, 127.8, 44.5, 20.8, 20.5, 13.9., 12.7. Colorless oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{11}H_{14}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 194.0943. Found: 194.0934. Z-6b: ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ 5.62 (t, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.93 (s, 2H), 2.70 (m, 5H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.03 (t, 3H, J = 7.5 Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 201.3, 167.9, 145.3, 135.1, 131.5, 124.1, 45.3, 20.7,

20.6, 13.9., 12.7. Colorless oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{11}H_{14}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 194.0943. Found: 194.0948.

5-Cyclohexylidene-2-methyl-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, **6c**: 23 mg, 50% yield. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.96 (t, 2H, $J = 5.6$ Hz), 2.90 (s, 2H), 2.41 (t, 2H, $J = 5.9$ Hz), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.61 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 202.1, 168.1, 152.1, 145.9, 124.8, 123.9, 45.5, 30.8, 29.7, 28.9, 28.8, 26.5, 21.0, 12.5. Colorless oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{14}H_{18}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 234.1256. Found: 234.1261.

5-Benzylidene-2-methyl-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, 6d: 41 mg, 85% yield. E-6d: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.39 (d, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.34 (t, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.31 (t, 1H, J = 6.9 Hz), 7.13 $(s, 1H)$, 3.08 (d, 2H, J = 1.2 Hz), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.80 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR $(150 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta$ 199.9, 167.5, 145.6, 134.4, 131.7, 130.7, 129.9, 128.7, 128.2, 127.5, 44.4, 20.3, 13.0. Colorless oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{14}O_3(M)$ Calcd: 242.0943. Found: 242.0950. Z-6d: ¹H NMR $(600 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ 7.96 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 3H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 3.04 (s, 2H), 2.37(s, 3H), 1.85 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.8, 167.5, 146.2, 134.0, 131.3, 130.8, 129.6, 129.0, 128.1, 125.8, 45.0, 20.5, 12.9. Colorless oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{14}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 242.0943. Found: 242.0938.

2-Methyl-5-(4-methylbenzylidene)-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, 6e: 31 mg, 61% yield. E-6e: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.31 $(d, 2H, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz})$, 7.14 $(d, 2H, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz})$, 7.10 $(s, 1H)$, 3.07 $(d, 2H,$ $J = 1.2$ Hz), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.86 (d, 3H, $J = 0.6$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.9, 167.3, 145.4, 138.8, 131.3, 130.8, 129.94, 129.9, 128.8, 127.6, 44.2, 21.4, 20.2, 12.7. White solid, mp 138−139 °C. HRMS (EI) for C₁₆H₁₆O₃(M) Calcd: 256.1099. Found: 256.1104. Z-6e: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.88 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.17 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.31 (s, 1H), 3.03 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 6H), 1.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.1, 167.8, 146.5, 140.3, 131.6, 131.2, 130.7, 129.4, 129.1, 125.2, 45.3, 21.8, 20.7, 13.1. Yellow solid, mp 85−86 °C. HRMS (EI) for $C_{16}H_{16}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 256.1099. Found: 256.1101.

2-Methyl-5-(2-methylbenzylidene)-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, 6f: 44 mg, 86% yield. E-6f: ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.16 $(m, 5H)$, 3.08 (d, 2H, J = 0.9 Hz), 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.52 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.9, 167.6, 145.6, 137.9, 133.7, 132.2, 130.3, 129.9, 129.6, 128.6, 126.6, 125.2, 44.4, 20.3, 19.7, 12.8. Colorless oil. HRMS (EI) for $\rm C_{16}H_{16}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 256.1099. Found: 256.1091.

5-(4-Chlorobenzylidene)-2-methyl-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, 6g:¹⁹ 38 mg, 69% yield. E-6g: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.33 (m, 4H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 3.09 (s, 2H), 1.88 (s, 6H). 13C NMR (150 MHz, C[DC](#page-7-0)l₃): δ 199.2, 167.0, 145.1, 134.4, 132.6, 132.1, 131.8, 130.1, 128.1, 125.5, 44.0, 20.0, 12.7. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{13}ClO_3$ (M) Calcd: 276.0553. Found: 276.0558. Z-6g: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.90 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.33 (m, 2H), 6.27 (s, 1H), 3.04 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.85 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.7, 167.4, 146.1, 135.3, 132.5, 131.6, 131.3, 128.2, 127.2, 126.4, 44.9, 20.3, 12.9. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{13}ClO_3$ (M) Calcd: 276.0553. Found: 276.0558.

5-(2,6-Dichlorobenzylidene)-2-methyl-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, **6h:** 52 mg, 84% yield. E-**6h:** ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.34 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.19 (t, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 6.85 (s, 1H), 3.10 (s, 2H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.7, 167.3, 145.3, 135.5, 133.0, 132.5, 129.5, 127.8, 119.9, 44.5, 19.4, 13.1. White solid, mp 150−151 °C. HRMS (ESI) for $C_{15}H_{13}Cl_2O_3$ (MH+) Calcd: 311.0242. Found: 311.0239. Z-6h: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.30 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.16 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.23 (s, 1H), 2.99 (d, 2H, J = 1.2 Hz), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.88 (d, 3H, J = 1.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 197.5, 167.3, 145.24, 134.7, 134.6, 132.7, 129.8, 129.1, 127.6, 119.5, 44.6, 20.4, 13.1. Yellow solid, mp 85−87 °C. HRMS (ESI) for $C_{15}H_{13}Cl_2O_3$ (MH+) Calcd: 311.0242. Found: 311.0239.

2-Methyl-5-(4-nitrobenzylidene)-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, 6i:¹⁹ 52 mg, 90% yield. E-6i: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.20 (d, 2H, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 7.55 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.06 (s, 1H), 3.13 (s, 2H), [1.](#page-7-0)90 (s, 3H), 1.86 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.8, 167.1, 147.5, 145.4, 141.3, 134.2, 131.5, 130.7, 124.0, 123.4, 44.3, 20.3, 13.4. Yellow solid. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{13}NO_5$ (M) Calcd: 287.0794. Found: 287.0786. Z-6i: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.18 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 8.03 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz), 6.34 (s, 1H), 3.08 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.89 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.5, 167.6, 148.0, 145.4, 140.3, 134.0, 131.5, 130.7, 125.5, 123.5, 45.1, 20.7, 13.5. Yellow solid. HRMS (EI) for $\rm{C_{15}H_{13}NO_5}$ (M) Calcd: 287.0794. Found: 287.0786.

2-Methyl-5-(2-nitrobenzylidene)-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, **6j**: 55 mg, 96% yield. E-**6j**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.15 (d, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 7.61 (t, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.51 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 7.34 (m, 2H), 3.09 (s, 2H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H). 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta$ 198.8, 167.3, 148.0, 144.9, 133.0, 132.8, 132.4, 132.1, 130.9, 129.1, 125.0, 122.8, 44.4, 19.8, 13.1. Yellow solid, mp 65−67 °C. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{13}NO_5$ (M) Calcd: 287.0794. Found: 287.0801. Z-6j: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.10 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.09 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.59 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.48 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.73 (s, 1H), 3.02 (s, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.5, 167.8, 147.8, 146.0, 132.9, 132.7, 132.6, 130.0, 129.5, 129.0, 124.8, 123.3, 45.2, 20.7, 13.3. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{13}NO_5$ (M) Calcd: 287.0794. Found: 287.0790.

2-Methyl-4-oxo-5-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylidene)cyclopent-1 en-1-yl Acetate, $6k$:¹⁹ 53 mg, 85% yield. E-6k: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.60 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.48 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.09 (s, 1H), 3.10 (s, 2H), [1.8](#page-7-0)8 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.0, 166.9, 145.1, 138.0, 133.0, 132.4, 130.7, 129.8 (m), 124.8 (m), 124.0 (q, $J = 258.6$ Hz), 44.0, 19.8, 12.8. Yellow solid. HRMS (ESI) for $C_{16}H_{14}F_3O_3$ (MH+) Calcd: 311.0895. Found: 311.0889. Z-6k: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.99 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.60 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.33 (s, 1H), 3.06 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.4, 167.4, 146.1, 137.2, 133.1, 130.2, 130.0, 128.0, 126.5, 124.8 (m), 124.1 (q, $J = 258.6$ Hz), 44.8, 20.3, 13.0. Yellow solid. HRMS (ESI) for $C_{16}H_{14}F_3O_3$ (MH +) Calcd: 311.0895. Found: 311.0891.

2-Methyl-4-oxo-5-(2-(trifluoromethyl)benzylidene)cyclopent-1 en-1-yl Acetate, **6l**: 51 mg, 82% yield. E-6l: ^fH NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.67 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.51 (t, 1H, J = 7.4 Hz), 7.43 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 7.31 (d, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 7.26 (s, 1H), 3.10 (s, 2H), 1.83 (s, 3H), 1.55 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.9, 166.9, 144.9, 133.5, 133.0, 132.2, 131.1, 130.7, 129.1 $(q, J = 29.9 \text{ Hz})$, 128.0, 125.7 (q, J = 4.9 Hz), 123.7 (q, J = 272.2 Hz), 122.7, 44.0, 19.5, 12.8. Yellow solid, mp 92–93 °C. HRMS (ESI) for $C_{16}H_{14}F_3O_3(MH)$ +) Calcd: 311.0895. Found: 311.0894. Z-61: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.88 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.65 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.51 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.41 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.64 (s, 1H), 3.03 (s, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.1, 167.5, 146.0, 132.8, 132.1, 131.7, 131.0, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 125.6 (q, J $= 5.2$ Hz), 124.2 (q, J = 271.9 Hz), 123.2, 45.0, 20.3, 12.9. Brown oil. HRMS (ESI) for $C_{16}H_{14}F_3O_3$ (MH+) Calcd: 311.0895. Found: 311.0898.

2-Methyl-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethylene)-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1 yl Acetate, 6m: 51 mg, 88% yield. E-6m: ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.81 (m, 3H, J = 8.7 Hz), 7.50 (m, 3H), 7.28 $(s, 1H)$, 3.12 $(s, 2H)$, 1.89 $(s, 3H)$, 1.68 $(s, 3H)$. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 199.9, 167.4, 145.7, 133.3, 133.1, 131.9, 131.8, 131.0, 129.7, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 127.0, 126.8, 44.5, 20.3, 13.1. Yellow solid, mp 49−51 °C. HRMS (EI) for $C_{19}H_{16}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 292.1099. Found: 292.1105. Z-6m: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.43 (s, 1H), 8.11 (dd, 1H, J = 8.7, 1.8 Hz), 7.86 (m, 1H), 7.80 (m, 2H), 7.47 (m, 2H), 6.51 (s, 1H), 3.08 (s, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H). 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ 199.1, 167.8, 146.6, 134.0, 133.3, 132.0, 131.7, 131.5, 129.2, 129.0, 128.1, 127.8, 127.2, 126.4, 126.1, 45.3, 20.8, 13.2. Yellow solid, mp 90−91 °C. HRMS (EI) for $C_{19}H_{16}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 292.1099. Found: 292.1107.

2-Methyl-5-(naphthalen-1-ylmethylene)-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1 yl Acetate, **6n**: 49 mg, 84% yield. E-6n: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.88 (m, 3H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.45 (m, 4H), 3.14 (s, 2H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.8, 167.4, 145.6, 133.4, 132.1, 131.7, 131.0, 129.8, 129.1, 128.5, 127.4, 126.9, 126.5, 125.5, 125.2, 124.9, 44.5, 19.7, 13.0. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{19}H_{16}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 292.1099. Found: 292.1108.

5-([1,1′-Biphenyl]-2-ylmethylene)-2-methyl-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, 60: 52 mg, 82% yield. E-60: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.40−7.32 (m, 9H), 6.93 (s, 1H), 3.07 (s, 2H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.7, 167.9, 142.7, 140.3, 132.5, 132.0, 130.8, 130.0, 129.9, 129.0, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 126.4, 44.5, 20.1, 12.8. Light yellow solid, mp 110−112 °C. HRMS (EI) for $C_{21}H_{18}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 318.1256. Found: 318.1249.

5-((2′,4′-Difluoro-[1,1′-biphenyl]-2-yl)methylene)-2-methyl-4-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate, 6p: 52 mg, 73% yield. E-6p: ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 7.38 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 7.16 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 6.87 \text{ (m, 3H)}, 3.04$ (s, 2H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.5, 167.8, 163.0 (dd, J = 238.4, 12.0 Hz), 159.5 (dd, J = 238.9, 12.0 Hz), 145.5, 135.5, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 132.5, 130.8, 130.7, 130.6, 128.6, 127.3, 126.7, 111.4 (dd, $J = 20.9$, 11.3 Hz), 104.3 (t, $J = 25.6$ Hz) 44.4, 20.0, 12.9. Light yellow solid, mp 172−173 °C. HRMS (ESI) for $C_{21}H_{17}F_2O_3$ (MH+) Calcd: 355.1146. Found: 355.1148.

(3-Methyl-5-oxocyclopent-2-en-1-ylidene)(phenyl)methyl Acetate, 11a: 27 mg, 56% yield. Z-11a: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.41 (m, 3H), 6.22 (m, 1H), 2.92 (s, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.98 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 202.2, 169.1, 144.1, 143.1, 134.7, 129.8, 128.5, 128.1, 127.2, 126.1, 46.7, 20.9, 18.0. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{15}H_{14}O_3$ (M) Calcd: 242.0943. Found: 242.0948.

1-(3-Methyl-5-oxocyclopent-2-en-1-ylidene)pentyl Acetate, 11b: 33 mg, 74% yield. Z-11b: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.29 (m, 1H), 2.83 (m, 2H), 2.33 (t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.52 (m, 2H), 1.37 (m, 2H), 0.91 (t, 3H, $J = 7.2$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (75) MHz, CDCl₃): δ 201.9, 169.1, 149.0, 140.5, 127.2, 125.2, 47.1, 32.8, 28.5, 22.6, 21.1, 18.1, 14.0. Brown oil. HRMS (EI) for $C_{13}H_{18}O_3(M)$ Calcd: 222.1256. Found: 222.1261.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Detailed synthesis and characterization of the compounds are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: xqyu@scu.edu.cn (X.-Q.Y.), lp6n@virginia.edu (L.P.). Notes

The aut[hors declare no co](mailto:xqyu@scu.edu.cn)mpeting fi[nancial interest.](mailto:lp6n@virginia.edu)

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Partial support of this work from the U.S. National Science Foundation (CHE-0717995 and ECCS-0708923) is gratefully acknowledged.

■ REFERENCES

 (1) (a) Frantz, D. E.; Fässler, R.; Tomooka, C. S.; Carreira, E. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 373−381. (b) Pu, L. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 9873−9886. (c) Cozzi, P. G.; Hilgraf, R.; Zimmermann, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 4095−4105. (d) Lu, G.; Li, Y.-M.; Li, X.-S.; Chan, A. S. C. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 1736−1744. (e) Trost, B. M.; Weiss, A. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 963−983. (f) Gao, G.; Pu, L. Sci. China: Chem. 2010, 53, 21−35.

(2) (a) Tejedor, D.; López-Tosco, S.; Cruz-Acosta, F.; Méndez-Abt, G.; García-Tellado, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2090−2098. (b) Guillarme, S.; Plé, K.; Banchet, A.; Liard, A.; Haudrechy, A. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2355−2403. (c) Detz, R. J.; Henk Hiemstra, H.; van Maarseveen, J. H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 6263−6276.

(3) Selected applications of chiral propargylic alcohols in synthesis: (a) Trost, B. M.; Müller, T. J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4985− 4986. (b) Arcadi, A.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Marinelli, F.; Pace, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 3305−3313. (c) Marshall, J. A.; Chobanian, H. R.; Yanik, M. M. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3369−3372. (d) Alfonsi, M.; Arcadi, A.; Chiarini, M.; Marinelli, F. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9510−9517. (e) Crimmins, M. T.; Jung, D. K.; Gray, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3146−3155. (f) Roethle, P. A.; Trauner, D. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 345. (g) Trost, B. M.; Weiss, A. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7664−7666. (h) Imagawa, H.; Saijo, H.; Kurisaki, T.; Yamamoto, M. K.; Fukuyama, Y.; Nishizawa, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1253−1255.

(4) Using Rh: (a) Li, X.; Zhang, M.; Shu, D.; Robichaux, P. J.; Huang, S.; Tang, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10421−10424. (b) Shu, D.; Li, X.; Zhang, M.; Robichaux, P. J.; Tang, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1346−1349. (c) Shibata, Y.; Noguchi, K.; Tanaka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7896−7898. (d) Brancour, C.; Fukuyama, T.; Ohta, Y.; Ryu, I.; Dhimane, A.-L.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 5470−5472. (e) Li, X.; Huang, S.; Schienebeck, C. M.; Shu, D.; Tang, W. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1584− 1587. (f) Shu, X. Z.; Huang, S. Y.; Shu, D. X.; Guzei, I. A.; Tang, W. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8153−8156. (g) Zhang, D.-H.; Shi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 487−490. (h) Shibata, Y.; Noguchi, K.; Tanaka, K. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5596−5599. (i) Shibata, Y.; Noguchi, K.; Tanaka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7896−7898. (j) Fukuyama, T.; Ohta, Y.; Brancour, C.; Miyagawa, K.; Ryu, I.; Dhimane, A.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 7243-7247.

(5) Using Au: (a) Johansson, M. J.; Gorin, D. J.; Staben, S. T.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 18002−18003. (b) Gorin, D. J.; Dube, P.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14480−14481. (c) Gorin, D. J.; Watson, I. D. G.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3736−3737. (d) Li, G.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3740−3741. (e) Marion, N.; de Frèmont, P.; Lemière, G.; Stevens, E. D.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2048−2050. (f) Zhang, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16804−16805. (g) Marion, N.; Díez-Gonzàlez, S.; de Frèmont, P.; Noble, A. R.; Nolan, S. P. Angew.Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3647−3650. (h) Mamane, V.; Gress, T.; Krause, A.; Fürstner, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8654−8655. (i) Zhang, D.-H.; Yao, L.-F.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2583−2587.

(6) Using Pt: (a) Mainetti, E.; Mouries, V.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M.; Marco-Contelles, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2132−2135. (b) Harrak, Y.; Blaszykowski, C.; Bernard, M.; Cariou, K.; Mainetti, E.; Mouriès, V.; Dhimane, A. -L.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8656−8657. (c) Prasad, B. A. B.; Yoshimoto, F. K.; Sarpong, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12468− 12469. (d) Pujanauski, B. G.; Prasad, B. A. B.; Sarpong, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6786. (e) Ji, K.-G.; Shu, X.-Z.; Chen, J.; Zhao, S.-C.; Zheng, Z.-J.; Lu, L.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y. M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3919−3922. (f) Cho, E. J.; Kim, M.; Lee, D. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5413− 5416. (g) Zheng, H.; Xie, X. G.; Yang, J.; Zhao, C. G.; Jing, P.; Fang, B. W.; She, X. G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 7755−7762.

(7) Using Ru: (a) Miki, K.; Ohe, K.; Uemura, S. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8505−8513. (b) Tenaglia, A.; Marc, S. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3569−3575. (c) Kikuchi, H.; Uno, M.; Takahashi, S. Chem. Lett. 1997, 1273−1274. (d) Villeneuve, K.; Tam, W. Organometallics 2006, 25, 843−848.

(8) Using Pd: (a) Rautenstrauch, V. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 950− 952. (b) Mandai, T.; Tsuji, J.; Tsujiguchi, Y.; Saito, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5865−5866. (c) Kato, K.; Nouchi, H.; Ishikura, K.; Takaishi, S.; Motodate, S.; Tanaka, H.; Okudaira, K.; Mochida, T.; Nishigaki, R.; Shigenobu, K.; Akita, H. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 2545− 2554. (d) Using $Pd(II)$ and $Hg(II)$: Caruana, P. A.; Frontier, A. J. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 10646−10656. (e) Kato, K.; Teraguchi, R.; Yamamura, S.; Mochida, T.; Akita, H.; Peganova, T. A.; Vologdin, N. V.; Gusev, O. V. Synlett 2007, 638−642. (f) Kato, K.; Teraguchi, R.; Motodate, S.; Uchida, A.; Mochida, T.; Peganova, T. A.; Vologdin, N. V.; Akita, H. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3687−3689.

(9) (a) Using Re and W: Saito, K.; Onizawa, Y.; Kusama, H.; Iwasawa, N. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 4716-4720. (b) Using Cu, Ag, and Au: Schwier, T.; Sromek, A. W.; Yap, D. M. L.; Chernyak, D.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9868−9878. (c) Using Pt and Cu: Barluenga, J.; Riesgo, L.; Vicente, R.; López, L. A.; Tomás, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7772−7773. (d) Using Au and Pt: Brabander, J. K. D.; Liu, B.; Qian, M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2533−2536.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry and the State of Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article

(e) Using Ti: Zhang, Z.; Shi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 6541− 6544.

(10) Reviews: (a) Marco-Contelles, J.; Soriano, E. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 1350−1357. (b) Marion, N.; Nolan, S. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2750−2752.

(11) (a) Rajaram, A. R.; Pu, L. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2019−2021. (b) Zhou, L.-H.; Yu, X.-Q.; Pu, L. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2013−2017. (c) Yang, Y.; Turlington, M.; Yu, X.-Q.; Pu, L. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 5104−5107.

(12) Yang, Y.; Turlington, M.; Yu, X.-Q.; Pu, L. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 8681−8689.

(13) Turlington, M.; Yue, Y.; Yu, X.-Q.; Pu, L. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6941−6952.

(14) (a) Turlington, M.; Du, Y.-H.; Ostrum, S. G.; Santosh, V.; Wren, K.; Lin, T.; Sabat, M.; Pu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11780−11794. (b) Turlington, M.; Pu, L. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4332− 4335.

(15) Zhang, L.; Wang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1442−1443. (16) Tao, B.; Ruble, J. C.; Hoic, D. A.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5091−5092.

(17) Cordonnier, M.-C.; Blanc, A.; Pale, P. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1569−1572.

(18) (a) Murakami, M.; Itami, K.; Ito, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2691−1694. (b) Murakami, M.; Itami, K.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11672−11673. (c) Murakami, M.; Itami, K.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2950−2951.

(19) The NMR spectra were obtained for the mixture of the E and Z products.